

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/636,571  <b>Examiner</b> Michael J. Feely	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAMURA ET AL.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 1796</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>		<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	
<b>Art Unit</b> 1796					

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) Eric D. Babych (Reg. No. 57,542).

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*previous 102/103*

Claims discussed:

*all pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Rosenkranz et al. (GB 1,384,343)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Babych agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment. It was agreed that the new alcohol limitations sufficiently distinguish the instant invention from the prior art of record.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Feely/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)